

LAW OFFICES

**GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE,  
ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C.**

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT PRACTICE  
280 N. OLD WOODWARD AVENUE, SUITE 400  
BIRMINGHAM, MICHIGAN 48009-5394

(248) 647-6000

FACSIMILE (248) 647-5210

info@patlaw.com

ERNEST I. GIFFORD (3)  
ALLEN M. KRASS  
IRVIN L. GROH (1,2)  
DOUGLAS W. SPRINKLE  
THOMAS E. ANDERSON (3)  
RONALD W. CITKOWSKI  
JUDITH M. RILEY  
JULIE A. GREENBERG  
DOUGLAS J. MCEVOY  
JOHN G. POSA  
DOUGLAS L. WATHEN  
AVERY N. GOLDSTEIN, PH.D.  
MARK D. SCHNEIDER  
BEVERLY M. BUNTING  
LIONEL D. ANDERSON  
ANGELA M. DAVISON

OF COUNSEL  
ROBERTA J. MORRIS, PH.D. (4)

PATENT AGENTS  
MARTIN S. BANCROFT, PH.D.  
JULIE K. STAPLE, PH.D.

ALFRED L. PATMORE, JR.  
(1929-1997)

ANN ARBOR OFFICE  
101 NORTH MAIN, SUITE 800  
ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48104-1476  
(734) 913-9300  
FACSIMILE (734) 913-6007

FLORIDA OFFICE  
101 WEST VENICE AVE., SUITE 28  
VENICE, FLORIDA 34285  
(941) 488-4245  
FACSIMILE (941) 484-5258

ALSO ADMITTED IN:  
(1) WISCONSIN  
(2) OHIO  
(3) FLORIDA  
(4) NEW YORK

February 4, 2004

Mr. Corbin R. Davis  
Supreme Court Clerk  
Michigan Supreme Court  
Lansing, MI 48909

**Re: Mishkoff v. Attorney Grievance Commission  
Supreme Court #125466**

Dear Mr. Davis:

This is in response to your letter of January 26, 2004 regarding the above-identified matter.


Complainant Mishkoff asserts that he does not know why the Attorney Grievance Commission refused to take action against me. Perhaps he should reread the correspondence from the Attorney Grievance Commission where it concluded (correctly) that nothing in his complaint constituted misconduct.

The Complainant apparently has too much time on his hands. This is nothing more than the Complainant fulfilling his well-publicized threat to "go after" the attorneys even though he has suffered absolutely no harm. In fact, he won his case hands down.

In any event, my position is fully set forth in my letters dated October 15, 2003 and December 9, 2003 to the Attorney Grievance Commission. It is my understanding that both of those letters have been attached as exhibits to the Complaint filed by the Complainant Mishkoff.

I have nothing to add to what has already been said and, for that reason, simply adopt the position set forth in those two letters. However, if there is any area which the Court would like me to address, please let me know and I will be happy to do so.

Very truly yours,

  
Douglas W. Sprinkle

DWS/gs

cc: Attorney Grievance Commission  
Henry Mishkoff ✓